### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

GUARDIAN INDUSTRIES CORPORATION,	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
v.	) C. A. No. 05-27-SL	R
DELL, INC. et al.,	)	
Defendants.	)	

# PROVIEW ELECTRONICS COMPANY LTD'S MOTION TO STAY PENDING RESOLUTION OF THE CASES AGAINST LCD MODULE MANUFACTURERS

Defendant Proview Electronics Company LTD ("Proview Electronics")
respectfully moves this Court to enter an Order staying the above-captioned action
against Proview Electronics pending the final resolution of Plaintiff Guardian Industries
Corporation's ("Guardian") claims against the liquid crystal display ("LCD") product
manufacturers in this action.

Guardian has brought suit before against other defendants in a separate case (hereinafter referred to as "Guardian I"). However, the facts in this case are significantly different than the facts in Guardian I. First, in this case, the defendants include both the LCD manufactures, as well as a significant number of customers. In Guardian I, Guardian focused its claims primarily against the LCD manufacturers. Second, in this case, there are substantially more customer defendants than were present in Guardian I. Allowing discovery to proceed with respect to these customer defendants, most of whom have little if any additional knowledge about the accused products, would needlessly complicate discovery. Third, and perhaps most importantly, none of the defendants in Guardian I moved to stay the case prior to the commencement of discovery.

The LCD manufacturers are the parties with detailed knowledge of the design, structure, and manufacture of the allegedly infringing LCDs, and the parties with the information and documentation to defend against Guardian's claims. Indeed, Proview Electronics does not manufacture or design LCDs. Instead, Proview Electronics purchases LCD products from LCD manufacturers. It is these LCD manufacturers, not LCD customers like Proview Electronics, that are the real parties in interest in this case. The manufacturers who supply the LCDs incorporated into the fully assembled products Proview Electronics purchases from LCD manufacturers are set forth in the attached Declaration of Jim Chen (Exhibit A hereto). In an attempt to simplify this case, Proview Electronics now moves to stay the action, thereby allowing the LCD manufacturers to logically take the lead. Further, staying this case against Proview Electronics and the other customer defendants pending resolution of Guardian's claims against the LCD manufacturers would further the interests of justice and litigation efficiency and economy by significantly streamlining and simplifying the issues, discovery, and trial in this complex, multi-party case.

Proview Electronics joins in and incorporates by reference the points and authorities set forth in the briefs in support of the motions to stay filed by Dell, Inc. on June 3, 2005, and Gateway, Inc. on June 8, 2005, as grounds for this Motion. A proposed Order granting Proview Electronics' motion is attached hereto.

Rule 7.1.1 Certification. Counsel for Proview Electronics have consulted with counsel for Plaintiff Guardian Industries Corporation pursuant to Delaware Local Rule 7.1.1 and have been advised that Plaintiff will not consent to the relief sought by this Motion.

#### OF COUNSEL:

Jeffery K. Sherwood John M. Caracappa AKIN GUMP STRAUSS HAUER & FELD LLP Robert S. Strauss Building 1333 New Hampshire Avenue, NW Washington, D.C. 20036 Tel: (202) 887-4000 jsherwood@akingump.com jcaracappa@akingump.com

Yitai Hu AKIN GUMP STRAUSS HAUER & FELD LLP 580 California Street **Suite 1500** San Francisco, CA 94104 Tel: (415) 765-9500 yhu@akingump.com

Kevin R. Hamel AKIN GUMP STRAUSS HAUER & FELD LLP One Commerce Square **Suite 2200** Philadelphia, PA 19103 Tel: (215) 965-1200 khamel@akingump.com

Dated: July 12, 2005

690134

POTTER ANDERSON & CORROON LLP

By: Richard L. Horwitz (#2246) David E. Moore (#3983)

Hercules Plaza, 6th Floor 1313 N. Market Street Wilmington, DE 19899

Tel: (302) 984-6000

rhorwitz@potteranderson.com dmoore@potteranderson.com

Attorneys for Defendants Proview Electronics Company Ltd.

#### EXHIBIT A

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

GUARDIAN INDUSTRIES CORP.,	)
Plaintiff,	)
v.	)
DELL, INC.; GATEWAY, INC.; HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY; ACER INC.; ACER AMERICA CORPORATION; AOC INTERNATIONAL; ENVISION PERIPHERALS, INC.; TPV TECHNOLOGY, LTD.; TPV INTERNATIONAL (USA), INC.; AU OPTRONICS CORPORATION; AU OPTRONICS CORPORATION AMERICA a/k/a AU OPTRONICS AMERICA, INC.; BENQ CORPORATION; BENQ AMERICA CORPORATION; CHUNGHWA PICTURE TUBES, LTD. a/k/a CHUNGHWA PICTURE TUBES COMPANY; TATUNG COMPANY; TATUNG COMPANY OF AMERICA, INC.; BOE HYDIS TECHNOLOGY COMPANY, LTD.; BOE HYDIS AMERICA INC.; CHI MEI OPTOELECTRONICS;	) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) )  Jury Trial Demanded ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) )
COMPAL ELECTRONICS, INC.; HANNSTAR DISPLAY CORPORATION; JEAN CO., LTD.; LITE-ON TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION: LITE-ON, INC. a/k/a LITEON TRADING USA, INC.;	) ) )
MAG TECHNOLOGY COMPANY, LTD.; MAG TECHNOLOGY USA, INC.; PROVIEW INTERNATIONAL HOLDINGS, LTD.;	) ) )
PROVIEW TECHNOLOGY, INC.; PROVIEW ELECTRONICS COMPANY, LTD.; and QUANTA DISPLAY, INC.	) ) )
Defendants.	)

DECLARATION OF PROVIEW ELECTRONICS COMPANY, LTD.

I, Jim Chen (name), hereby declare as follows:

- I am a assistant vice president of R&D at Proview Electronics Company Ltd. ("Proview 1. Electronics"), a defendant in this action. Among other things, I am responsible for research and development of monitor for Proview Electronics. In this position, I have access to and am familiar with business records and information concerning Proview Electronics' purchase of liquid crystal displays ("LCD's") and LCD-containing products from third party suppliers.
- I submit this declaration in support of Proview Electronics' motion to stay this case 2. against Proview Electronics. The facts stated herein are based on my personal knowledge and my review of Proview Electronics' books, business records and other business information, as well as my conversations with other Proview Electronics employees. If called to testify regarding the same, I could do so competently.
- I understand that Guardian Industries Corporation ("Guardian") has filed a lawsuit 3. against Proview Electronics alleging infringement of U.S. Patent No. 5,570,214, entitled "Normally White Twisted Nematic LCD with Retardation Films on Opposite Sides of Liquid Crystal Materials for Improved Viewing Zone," U.S. Patent No. 5,694,187, entitled "I.CD Including a Negative Biaxial Retarded on Each Side of The Liquid Crystal Layer, "U.S. Patent. No. 6,226,065, entitled "Liquid Crystal Display Having High Contrast Viewing Zone Centered in Positive or Negative Vertical Region," and U.S. Patent No. 6,229,588, entitled "Normally White LCD Including First and Second Biaxial Retarders," (referred to collectively herein as "the patents-in-suit"). I understand that each of the patents-in-suit is directed to a certain type of LCD. I further understand that Guardian has not specified in its Complaint or First Amended Complaint the specific products accused of infringement, but has generally alleged that "[o]n

2

information and belief, Defendants each sell LCD product(s) that include a version of Puji Wide View (WV) film."

- Proview Electronics does not manufacture or design LCD's. Instead Proview Electronics 4. purchases LCD products from LCD manufacturers.
- Upon review of Guardian's Complain in this action, Proview Electronics attempted to 5. determine the identity of the suppliers of the LCD's or LCD-containing products purchased by Proview Electronics. From our review, we determined that the vast majority of the LCD's or LCD-containing products purchased by Proview Electronics were purchased from companies that have either licensed the patents-in-suit from Guardian or are co-defendants in this lawsuit.
- Proview Electronics has purchased LCD's and LCD-containing products from the б. following Guardian licensees: Chi Mei Optoelectronics ("CMO") and AU Optronics ("AUO"). Proview Electronics has also purchased LCD's and LCD-containing products from Hannstar, a defendant in this case.
- When Proview Electronics purchases LCD's and LCD-containing products, Proview 7. Electronics may specify that the LCD's must meet specific requirements, such as a specified viewing angle, refresh rate and brightness. Proview Electronics does not specify how those requirements must be met and is not provided technical information by the supplier explaining how the requirements are met in the ordinary course of business. Likewise, Proview Electronics does not request and is not provided information by suppliers regarding the specific components of the LCD products that Proview Electronics purchases, such as what type or how many different polarizers or films are used in the LCD's.
- I have confirmed with the appropriate people at Proview Electronics that Proview 8. Electronics does not know which LCD's or LCD-containing products it purchases from suppliers

3 7430080 vt

and then resells, if any, that include a version of the Fuji Wide View film referenced by Guardian in its First Amended Complaint. For this reason, Proview Electronics cannot identify which of the LCD products it purchases from LCD manufacturers and then resells that allegedly infringe the patents-in-suit.

I declare that the foregoing is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge under penalty of perjury of the laws of the United States.

Dated: July 12, 2005

4

74309XV vI

#### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, Richard L. Horwitz, hereby certify that on July 12, 2005, the attached document was hand delivered to the following persons and was electronically filed with the Clerk of the Court using CM/ECF which will send notification of such filing(s) to the following and the document is available for viewing and downloading from CM/ECF:

Richard K. Herrmann Mary B. Matterer Morris James Hitchens & Williams LLP 222 Delaware Avenue, 10<sup>th</sup> Floor Wilmington, DE 19801

Josy W. Ingersoll Young, Conaway, Stargatt & Taylor, LLP The Brandywine Building 1000 West Street, 17<sup>th</sup> Floor P.O. Box 391 Wilmington, DE 19899-0391

Steven J. Balick Ashby & Geddes 222 Delaware Avenue P.O. Box 1150 Wilmington, DE 19899

Matthew W. King Richards, Layton & Finger One Rodney Square P.O. Box 551 Wilmington, DE 19899-0551 Robert W. Whetzel Richards, Layton & Finger One Rodney Square P.O. Box 551 Wilmington, DE 19899-0551

Gerald M. O'Rourke Connolly Bove Lodge & Hutz LLP The Nemours Building 1007 North Orange Street P.O. Box 2207 Wilmington, DE 19899

William J. Marsden, Jr. Fish & Richardson, P.C. 919 N. Market Street, Suite 1100 P.O. Box 1114 Wilmington, DE 19899-1114

I hereby certify that on July 12, 2005, I have Federal Expressed the documents to the following non-registered participants:

Bryan S. Hales Craig D. Leavell Meredith Zinanni Eric D. Hayes Kirkland & Ellis LLP 200 East Randolph Drive Chicago, IL 60601 Teresa M. Corbin Howrey LLP 525 Market Street, Suite 3600 San Francisco, CA 9410534

Peter J. Wied Paul Hastings Janofsky & Walker 515 South Flower Street, 25<sup>th</sup> Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071-2228

Roderick B. Williams Avelyn M. Ross Vinson & Elkins 2801 Via Fortuna, Suite 100 Austin, TX 78746-7568

Eric L. Wesenberg Kai Tseng Rowena Young Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP 1000 Marsh Road Menlo Park, CA 94025-1015

Jeffrey K. Sherwood Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld LLP Robert S. Strauss Building 1333 New Hampshire Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20036-1564 Robert C. Weems Baum & Weems 58 Katrina Lane San Anselmo, CA 94960

Kurt M. Rogers Latham & Watkins LLP 885 Third Avenue Suite 1000 New York, NY 10022-4834

E. Robert Yoches
Laura P. Masurovsky
Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow,
Garrett & Dunner, L.L.P.
901 New York Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20001

By:

Richard L. Horwitz
David E. Moore
Hercules Plaza, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor
1313 N. Market Street
Wilmington, Delaware 19899-0951
(302) 984-6000
rhorwitz@potteranderson.com
dmoore@potteranderson.com

679634